Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/849,905	WITT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathryn Odland	3743
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Kathryn Odland.	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Spinelli</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
2		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)



Application No. 09/849,905

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney was contacted to request clarification of claim 2. Claim 2 was amended to include the limitation "said elongated tubular member transmits ultrasonic energy to the end effector." This limitation appears to contradict the specification and applicant's attorney agreed, stating it appeared to be an unintentional error.